

~~DATE 2008~~

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION S-E-C-R-E-T		DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO. EGPA 27509	
TO Chief, SR EE, COS				HEADQUARTERS FILE NO. 74-6-326/2	
FROM Chief of Base, Frankfurt				DATE 11 August 1959	
SUBJECT REDWOOD/AEHAUKEYE Progress Report - 1 April thru 9 August 1959		RE "43-5" - (CHECK "X" ONE)			
		MARKED FOR INDEXING			
		NO INDEXING REQUIRED			
ACTION REQUIRED See paragraph 1 below				INDEXING CAN BE JUDGED BY QUALIFIED HQ. DESK ONLY	
REFERENCES EGPA 26228, 24 April 1959					

1. Action Required. Consider termination of ABIAWKEYE 1 and the ABIAWKEYE Project.
2. Product of the Project in terms of Project Objectives and the RMD.
During the reporting period the following disseminations of positive intelligence were made: EGFs 4178, 4179, 4192, 4195, 4233, and 4235.

3. Agent Performance.

ABHAWKBYE 1.

a. AEHAWKEYE¹ continued to screen mail intercepts for leads. Through this means and from spotters reports several possible REDSKIN prospects were located. They were contacted and assessed by AEHAWKEYE¹ and two of them were found to be interested in repatriating to Latvia. They both had to be rejected, however, since the agent's assessment revealed one was an alcoholic, and the other too old (53) and of generally low caliber.

b. In addition to handling ☒ this agent was also recently assigned the responsibility for handling and servicing ☒

F 75 7 AEVAIN 14. F 75 36 2

c. It was noted, however, during this period, that the agent's performance and behaviour were becoming increasingly unsatisfactory. His performance of duties assigned to him was not felt to be on a level commensurate with his professional background. Although there were several instances of erratic behaviour by the agent, none of these were noteworthy enough in themselves. Nevertheless these behaviour patterns had a cumulative effect upon us causing us to conclude that the agent was somewhat eccentric. We make reference here to chance remarks by the agent which indicated he was convinced that KUBARK was monitoring his mail and telephone, was surveilling his movements, sending him on assessment assignments merely to test him, and employing provocative techniques to aggravate him.

d. Perhaps these convictions of the agent, which we were never able to dispel, may have been responsible for his poor judgement in the incident reported in EGPA 24897, 13 January 1959. (This was when the agent contacted [] and at the conclusion of the meeting allowed him to accompany the agent to a prearranged meeting site although the agent himself was convinced [] intended to "finger" him.)

e. A recent incident reflecting poor judgement and impulsiveness occurred with subject of NEPA 27258, 24 July 1959. This subject was spotted and recommended as a possible good ARCOMPASS prospect by []

] The latter, however, had been unable to secure sufficient biograph-
 ic data for a name trace request. The agent was consequently instructed
 to meet subject as a friend or] assess him and attempt to
 secure additional biographic data. After being introduced to subject by
] the agent established independent contact with him, and
 various inquiries proceeded to acquaint him by giving him general require-
 ments (the ration, photographs of Soviet naval vessels, etc.) as well
 as sending him a card for anti-landmine activities.

f. As a result of the foregoing incident, [] decided to meet the agent for the purpose of assessing him and advising him that he was never to attempt recruitment in the future without prior clearance from his case officer. [] spent a few hours with the agent and found the man uninspiring, lethargic, slow thinking, and apparently unhappy with his lot. It was [] decision at the time to watch the agent carefully over a period of the next few months and re-evaluate his performance with the thought in mind of possibly recommending his termination if his work did not improve or if he committed repeated errors of judgement or did not follow his case officer's guidance and instructions in the future. It might be added that [] overall assessment was most negative and that he was not impressed with the man's personality, professional qualifications, attitude towards his case officer and KUBARK in general, or his overall behaviour.

g. About ten days after [] assessment, the agent was met on 2 August (see FROB 4986) on a routine matter. After inquiring why his family had not arrived the agent remarked that he is playing with the idea of resigning. Since the agent had made similar such off-hand statements in the past, there was not much credence placed on this remark. On 3 August, however, it was discovered that the agent visited the local Consulate that very morning, identifying himself as an ODIBEX employee (of our cover unit), stating that he desired to resign, and requesting financial assistance to get home since he was destitute and since ODIBEX allegedly refused to pay for his transportation to PRPRIME. The agent arrived for a scheduled meeting immediately after the above incident at the Consulate and reported his actions to []. He could offer no logical explanation for such eccentric behaviour and gave every indication of again changing his mind, and deciding not to resign, "if my family joins me immediately." For your information the above incident was reported independently to our Security personnel with the resulting embarrassment to all concerned.

h. [] met with the agent on 4 August and his actions were discussed in some detail. It became apparent that the agent was not too serious about resigning but had taken the action in order to force our hand. He appeared to be convinced that for some mysterious reasons we had taken steps to prevent his family from joining him. Perhaps the most significant remark he made after being informed that his family was presently being processed for travel was that he would consider remaining in Germany if his family was actually on the way. He was informed that such a position amounted to blackmail and KUBARK could not permit it nor would we accept any ultimatums. The agent appeared to have difficulty comprehending the concept involved, shrugged his shoulders and stated that perhaps he was in the wrong business.

i. It should also be mentioned here that the agent's financial status is somewhat chaotic. He draws only \$100. per month salary in the field and is incapable of supporting himself on this amount. As a result, he has used funds advanced to him for travel or operational expenses for his personal needs. Although this aspect will be covered in more detail in a forthcoming separate dispatch we might add that AERAWKEYE 1 owes us an approximate sum of DM 4000. In addition, he will now owe KUBARK the cost of his transportation to PRPRIME. (FYI: Subject was told that we are not paying for his return transportation but merely advancing him the money which he will owe to KUBARK in addition to the above-mentioned sum.) It might be interesting to note that although Subject acknowledges his debt, he does not seem to be too concerned about it since he claims to be destitute and not in a position to repay it in the immediate future.

CONTINUATION OF DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION S-E-C-R-E-T	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO. EGPA 27509
<p>J. Upon receipt of Headquarters concurrence (DIR 38678) to return the agent to FBPRIME, [] met him on 6 August 1959. He was told that we had good news inasmuch as Headquarters agreed to his return home. The agent thanked us and replied that indeed this was good news. Various administrative matters were then discussed and the agent was told that he would leave this area on 9 August 1959. As you already know the agent indeed left the area by air and should have arrived in FBPRIME on or about 11 August 1959.</p> <p>4. <u>Finance.</u> Total expenditures during this period were approximately \$1,466.00.</p> <p>5. <u>Commitments.</u> None made.</p> <p>6. <u>Security.</u> The Office of Security has assured us that the Consulate dropped all action against the agent and has no further interest in his case.</p> <p>7. <u>Prospective Developments and Planning.</u> We recommend you seriously consider terminating AERHAWKEYE 1 and the AERHAWKEYE Project.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">U U U U</p> <p>APPROVED: []</p> <p>DISTRIBUTION: 2 - SR 1 - RE 2 - COS</p>		
FORM 10-37 33c (40)	USE PREVIOUS EDITION REPLACES FORMS 31-10, 31-23, 31-29, 31-49 WHICH ARE OBSOLETE	CLASSIFICATION S-E-C-R-E-T <input type="checkbox"/> CONTINUED